EXHIBIT 16

ATTACHMENT 4 - Budget Tables

Table 1: Costs by Treatment Type

Allensworth (SoCalGa	s)	Water Heater/Wall Furnace/Dryer/Range	Tankless Water Heater/Forced Air Furnace/Dryer/Range	All Treatments (Totals/Average)
	Eligibility Requirements	ALL	ALL	
General	Households proposed for treatment	53	53	106
	Minimum households to accomplish pilot	53	53	106
*	BTM costs/hh	\$ 5,275	\$ 9,112	\$ 7,194
'	iFM costs/hh	\$ 42,055	\$ 42,055	
Costs to Ratepayers	Other costs/hh	\$ 9,087	\$ 9,087	\$ 9,087
	contingency costs/hh	\$ 6,431	\$ 7,710	\$ 7,071
	Total costs/hh	\$ 62,849	\$ 67,964	\$ 65,407
Additional costs	Costs hh expected to pay, if any	\$	ş	\$ -
	Total NEW budget requested	\$ 62,849	\$ 67,964	\$ 65,407
Budget Requested	Leveraged budget from ratepayer program A	\$	\$ -	\$
	Leveraged budget from ratepayer program B, etc	\$	\$	\$ -
	Total Budget Needed	\$ 3,330,990	\$ 3,602,110	\$ 6,933,100

Table 1: Costs by Treatment Type

Alpaugh (SoCalGas)		Water Heater/Wall Furnace/Dryer/Range	Tankless Water Heater/Forced Air Furnace/Dryer/Range	All Treatments (Totals/Average)
	Eligibility Requirements	ALL	ALL	
General	Households proposed for treatment	3	3	6
	Minimum households to accomplish pilot	_3	3	6
	BTM costs/hh	\$ 5,271	\$ 9,104	\$ 7,188
	IFM costs/hh	\$ 8,970	\$ 8,970	\$ 8,970
Costs to Ratepayers	Other costs/hh	\$ 2,050	\$ 2,050	\$ 2,050
	contingency costs/hh	\$ 2,754	\$ 4,031	\$ 3,393
	Total costs/hh	\$ 19,044	\$ 24,156	\$ 21,600
Additional costs	Costs hh expected to pay, if any	\$	\$ -	\$ -
	Total NEW budget requested	\$ 19,044	\$ 24,156	\$ 21,600
Dudget Democrated	Leveraged budget from ratepayer program A	\$ -	\$	\$ -
Budget Requested	Leveraged budget from ratepayer program B, etc	\$ -	-	\$
	Total budget leveraged from existing ratepayer			
	Total Budget Needed	\$ 57,133	\$ 72,467	\$ 129,600

California City (SoCalG	Gas)	Solar Thermal Water Heater/Forced Air Furnace/Dryer/Range	Tankless Water Heater/Forced Air Furnace/Dryer/Range	All Treatments (Totals/Average)
	Eligibility Requirements	ALL	ALL	
General	Households proposed for treatment	112	112	22
	Minimum households to accomplish pilot	112	112	22
	BTM costs/hh	\$ 6,282	\$ 10,850	\$ 8,566
	IFM costs/hh	\$ 6,695	\$ 6,695	\$ 6,695
Costs to Ratepayers	Other costs/hh	\$ 3,536	\$ 3,536	\$ 3,536
	contingency costs/hh	\$ 2,838	\$ 4,361	\$ 3,599
	Total costs/hh	\$ 19,351	\$ 25,442	\$ 22,396
Additional costs	Costs hh expected to pay, if any	\$ -	\$	\$
	Total NEW budget requested	\$ 19,351	\$ 25,442	\$ 22,396
Dudt Dtail	Leveraged budget from ratepayer program A	\$ -	\$	\$
Budget Requested	Leveraged budget from ratepayer program B, etc	\$ -	\$	\$
	Total budget leveraged from existing ratepayer			
	Total Budget Needed	\$ 2,167,280.00	\$ 2,849,520	\$ 5,016,800

able 1: Costs by Treatment Type

Ducor (SoCalGas)		Water Heater/Forced Air Furnace/Dryer/Range	Tankless Water Heater/Forced Air Furnace/Dryer/Range	All Treatments (Totals/Average)
	Eligibility Requirements	ALL	ALL	
General	Households proposed for treatment	101	100	201
	Minimum households to accomplish pilot	101	100	
	BTM costs/hh	\$ 5,900	\$ 10,191	\$ 8,046
	IFM costs/hh	\$ 37,113	\$ 37,113	\$ 37,113
Costs to Ratepayers	Other costs/hh	\$ 7,625	\$ 7,625	\$ 7,625
	contingency costs/hh	\$ 6,090	\$ 7,521	
	Total costs/hh	\$ 56,728	\$ 62,449	\$ 59,589
Additional costs	Costs hh expected to pay, if any	\$	\$	-
	Total NEW budget requested	\$ 56,728	\$ 62,449	\$ 59,589
D	Leveraged budget from ratepayer program A	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Budget Requested	Leveraged budget from ratepayer program B, etc		\$ -	\$ -
	Total budget leveraged from existing ratepayer			
	Total Budget Needed	\$ 5,701,157	\$ 6,276,143	\$ 11,977,300

Table 1: Costs by Treatment Type

Lanare (SoCalGas)		Water Heater/Forced Air Furnace/Dryer/Range	Tankless Water Heater/Forced Air Furnace/Dryer/Range	All Treatments (Totals/Average)
	Eligibility Requirements	ALL	ALL	
General	Households proposed for treatment	4	4	8
	Minimum households to accomplish pilot	4	4	8
	BTM costs/hh	\$ 5,899	\$ 10,189	\$ 8,044
	IFM costs/hh	\$ 8,156	\$ 8,156	
Costs to Ratepayers	Other costs/hh	\$ 1,688	\$ 1,688	
	contingency costs/hh	\$ 2,873	\$ 4,303	\$ 3,588
	Total costs/hh	\$ 18,615	\$ 24,335	\$ 21,475
Additional costs	Costs hh expected to pay, if any	\$	s	\$ -
	Total NEW budget requested	\$ 18,615	\$ 24,335	\$ 21,475
D	Leveraged budget from ratepayer program A	\$ -	-	\$
Budget Requested	Leveraged budget from ratepayer program B, etc	\$ -	\$	\$ -
	Total budget leveraged from existing ratepayer			
	Total Budget Needed	\$ 74,460	\$ 97,340	\$ 171,800

Table 1: Costs by Treatment Type

Seville (SoCalGas)		Water Heater/Wall Furnace/Dryer/Range	Tankless Water Heater/Forced Air Furnace/Dryer/Range	All Treatments (Totals/Average)
	Eligibility Requirements	ALL	ALL	
General	Households proposed for treatment	52	52	104
	Minimum households to accomplish pilot	52	52	104
	BTM costs/hh	\$ 5,275	\$ 9,112	
	IFM costs/hh	\$ 41,240	\$ 41,240	\$ 41,240
Costs to Ratepayers	Other costs/hh	\$ 9,922	\$ 9,922	
	contingency costs/hh	\$ 6,341	\$ 7,620	\$ 6,980
	Total costs/hh	\$ 62,778	\$ 67,894	\$65,336
Additional costs	Costs hh expected to pay, if any	\$ -	\$ -	\$
-	Total NEW budget requested	\$ 62,778	\$ 67,894	\$ 65,336
Budget Requested	Leveraged budget from ratepayer program A	\$ -	\$	\$
Budget Requested	Leveraged budget from ratepayer program B, etc	\$	\$	\$ -
	Total budget leveraged from existing ratepayer			
	Total Budget Needed	\$ 3,264,459	\$ 3,530,465	\$ 6,794,924

Table 1: Costs by Treatment Type

West Goshen (SoCalG	asl	Water Heater/Wall Furnace/Dryer/Range	Tankless Water Heater/Forced Air Furnace/Dryer/Range	All Treatments (Totals/Average)
	Eligibility Requirements	ALL	ALL	
General	Households proposed for treatment	75	75	150
	Minimum households to accomplish pilot	75		
	BTM costs/hh	\$ 5,275	\$ 9,112	\$ 7,194
	IFM costs/hh	\$ 29,065	\$ 29,065	\$ 29,065
Costs to Ratepayers	Other costs/hh	\$ 6,883	\$ 6,883	\$ 6,883
	contingency costs/hh	\$ 4,988	\$ 6,267	\$ 5,627
	Total costs/hh	\$ 46,211	\$ 51,326	\$ 48,769
Additional costs	Costs hh expected to pay, if any	\$ -	s	\$ <u>-</u>
	Total NEW budget requested	\$ 46,211	\$ 51,326	\$ 48,769
	Leveraged budget from ratepayer program A	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Budget Requested	Leveraged budget from ratepayer program B, etc		\$ -	\$ -
	Total budget leveraged from existing ratepayer			
	Total Budget Needed	\$ 3,465,823	\$ 3,849,477	\$ 7,315,300

 Table 2. Summary of Community
 Allensworth

Allensworth	number / percent	
Population		561
Number of hh		136
Single Family (SF)		106
Multifamily (MF)	Unk	
mobile homes	Unk	
Estimated hh without gas		106
Percent hh without gas		77.9%
Number of CARE eligible		76
Percent of CARE eligible		72%
Average hh annual income	\$	29,091
Primary source of employment [if known]	Farming, Fishing, Forestry	

Table 2. Summary of Community

Alpaugh	number / percent	
Population		1124
Number of hh		285
Single Family (SF)		6
Multifamily (MF)	Unk	
mobile homes	Unk	
Estimated hh without gas		6
Percent hh without gas		2.1%
Number of CARE eligible		4
Percent of CARE eligible		68%
Average hh annual income	\$	38,750
Primary source of employment [if known]	Farming, Fishing, Forestry	

Table 2. Summary of Community

California City	number / percent	
Population		13360
Number of hh		5254
Single Family (SF)		224
Multifamily (MF)	Unk	
mobile homes	Unk	
Estimated hh without gas		224
Percent hh without gas		4.3%
Number of CARE eligible		105
Percent of CARE eligible		47%
Average hh annual income	\$	48,776

Primary source of employment [if known]	Administrative

Table 2. Summary of Community

Ducor	number / percent	
Population		741
Number of hh		199
Single Family (SF)		201
Multifamily (MF)	Unk	
mobile homes	Unk	
Estimated hh without gas		201
Percent hh without gas		101.0%
Number of CARE eligible		103
Percent of CARE eligible		51%
Average hh annual income	\$	30,288
Primary source of employment [if known]	Farming, Fishing, Forestry	

Table 2. Summary of Community

Lanare	number / percent	
Population		297
Number of hh		72
Single Family (SF)		8
Multifamily (MF)	Unk	
mobile homes	Unk	
Estimated hh without gas		8
Percent hh without gas		11.1%
Number of CARE eligible		3
Percent of CARE eligible		42%
Average hh annual income	\$	37,001
Primary source of employment [if known]	Transportation	

Table 2. Summary of Community

Seville	number / percent	
Population		586
Number of hh	1	122
Single Family (SF)	1	104
Multifamily (MF)	Unk	
mobile homes	Unk	
Estimated hh without gas	1	104
Percent hh without gas	85.	2%

Number of CARE eligible		47
Percent of CARE eligible		45%
Average hh annual income	\$	23,000
Primary source of employment [if known]	Farming, Fishing, Forestry	

Table 2. Summary of Community

West Goshen	number / percent	
Population		580
Number of hh		148
Single Family (SF)		150
Multifamily (MF)	Unk	
mobile homes	Unk	
Estimated hh without gas		150
Percent hh without gas		101.4%
Number of CARE eligible		59
Percent of CARE eligible		39%
Average hh annual income	\$	25,335
Primary source of employment [if known]	Farming, Fishing, Forestry	

Table 3: Projected Changes in Participants' Energy Costs

	CARE		Non-CARE		
Allensworth					
Pre-pilot estimated average energy costs for					
households in community	\$	125	\$		125
Pre-pilot estimated average energy costs for		-			
participating households lacking access to					
natural gas	\$	125	\$		125
Post-pilot estimated energy costs savings					
propane	\$	98	\$		91
wood	\$	-	\$		-
natural gas	\$	-	\$		-
electricity	\$		\$		-
Total	\$	98	\$		91
Post-pilot estimated percent reduction in					
total energy costs		79%		7	73.1%

Table 3: Projected Changes in Participants' Energy Costs

	CARE	Non-CARE	
Alpaugh			
Pre-pilot estimated average energy costs for		••	
households in community	\$ 113	\$	113
Pre-pilot estimated average energy costs for			
participating households lacking access to			
natural gas	\$ 113	\$ 	113
Post-pilot estimated energy costs savings			
propane	\$ 88	\$	82
wood	\$ -	\$ 	-
natural gas	\$ -	\$ 	-
electricity	\$ -	\$ 	-
Total	\$ 88	\$	82
Post-pilot estimated percent reduction in			
total energy costs	78%		72.7%

Table 3: Projected Changes in Participants' Energy Costs

	CARE		Non-CARE		
California City					
Pre-pilot estimated average energy costs for					
households in community	\$	113	\$		113
Pre-pilot estimated average energy costs for					
participating households lacking access to					
natural gas	\$	113	\$		113
Post-pilot estimated energy costs savings					
propane	\$	92	\$	-	86
wood	\$		\$		
natural gas	\$	-	\$	·-	
electricity	\$	-	\$		
Total	\$	92	\$		86
Post-pilot estimated percent reduction in					
total energy costs		81%			76%

Table 3: Projected Changes in Participants' Energy Costs

	CARE			Non-CARE
Ducor				
Pre-pilot estimated average energy costs for households in community	\$	125	\$	125
Pre-pilot estimated average energy costs for participating households lacking access to natural gas	\$	125	\$	125
Post-pilot estimated energy costs savings	7	123	7	123
propane	\$	98	\$	91
wood	\$	-	\$	-
natural gas	\$	-	\$	-
electricity	\$	-	\$	-
Total	\$	98	\$	91
Post-pilot estimated percent reduction in total energy costs		79%		73.1%

Table 3: Projected Changes in Participants' Energy Costs

	CARE		Non-CARE
Lanare			
Pre-pilot estimated average energy costs for households in community	\$ 115	\$	115
Pre-pilot estimated average energy costs for participating households lacking access to	445	,	
natural gas Post-pilot estimated energy costs savings	\$ 115	\$	115
propane	\$ 90	\$	84
wood	\$ _	\$	
natural gas	\$ -	\$	-
electricity	\$ _	\$	-
Total	\$ 90	\$	84
Post-pilot estimated percent reduction in			
total energy costs	78%		72.9%

Table 3: Projected Changes in Participants' Energy Costs

	CARE	Non-CARE		
	O/ 1112			
Seville				
Pre-pilot estimated average energy costs for				
households in community	\$ 122	\$	122	
Pre-pilot estimated average energy costs for	_			
participating households lacking access to				
natural gas	\$ 122	\$	122	
Post-pilot estimated energy costs savings				
propane	\$ 96	\$	89	
wood	\$ -	\$	-	
natural gas	\$ -	\$	<u>-</u>	
electricity	\$ -	\$	-	
Total	\$ 96	\$	89	
Post-pilot estimated percent reduction in				
total energy costs	78%		72.9%	

Table 3: Projected Changes in Participants' Energy Costs

		CARE		Non-CARE
West Goshen				
Pre-pilot estimated average energy costs for				
households in community	\$	124	\$	124
Pre-pilot estimated average energy costs for participating households lacking access to	\$	124	ځ	124
natural gas	٦	124	\$	124
Post-pilot estimated energy costs savings				
propane	\$	97	\$	91
wood	\$	-	\$	_
natural gas	\$	-	\$	•
electricity	\$	-	\$	
Total	\$	97	\$	91
Post-pilot estimated percent reduction in				·
total energy costs		79%		73.1%

Table 4: Total NEW Budget Requested

Allensworth							
							Percent of New Budget (All
Cost Category	Year 1		Year 2		All Years		Years)
Administrative	\$	69,800	\$	69,800	\$	139,600	2.0%
BTM Costs	\$	_	\$	1,016,700	\$	1,016,700	14.7%
IFM Costs	\$	4,584,900	\$	368,300	\$	4,953,200	71.4%
Marketing & Outreach	\$	170,200	\$	170,200	\$	340,400	4.9%
Workforce Development							0.0%
etc	\$	362,800	\$	120,400	\$	483,200	7.0%
Total	\$	5,187,700	\$	1,745,400	\$	6,933,100	100%

Table 4: Total NEW Budget Requested

Alpaugh						·	
Cost Category	Year 1		Year 2		All Years	" -	Percent of New Budget (All Years)
Administrative	\$	1,200	\$	1,200	\$	2,400	1.9%
BTM Costs	\$	-	\$	57,500	\$	57,500	44.4%
IFM Costs	\$	59,800	\$	-	\$	59,800	46.1%
Marketing & Outreach	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	0.0%
Workforce Development							0.0%
etc	\$	5,800	\$	4,100	\$	9,900	7.6%
Total	\$	66,800	\$	62,800	\$	129,600	100%

Table 4: Total NEW Budget Requested

California City							
							Percent of New Budget (All
Cost Category	Year 1		Year 2		All Years		Years)
Administrative	\$	21,800	\$	74,100	\$	95,900	1.9%
BTM Costs	\$	-	\$	2,558,400	\$	2,558,400	51.0%
IFM Costs	\$	426,800	\$	1,239,600	\$	1,666,400	33.2%
Marketing & Outreach	\$	170,200	\$	170,200	\$	340,400	6.8%
Workforce Development		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u> </u>	0.0%
etc	\$	50,600	\$	305,100	\$	355,700	7.1%
Total	\$	669,400	\$	4,347,400	\$	5,016,800	100%

Table 4: Total NEW Budget Requested

Ducor					-			
Cost Category	Year 1		Year 2		All Years		Percent of New Budget (All Years)	-
Administrative	\$	85,300	\$	85,300	\$	170,600		1.4%

BTM Costs	\$		\$ 2,156,200	\$	2,156,200	18.0%
IFM Costs	\$	3,413,200	\$ 4,875,300	\$	8,288,500	69.2%
Marketing & Outreach	\$	170,200	\$ 170,200	\$	340,400	2.8%
Workforce Development						0.0%
etc	\$	364,100	\$ 657,500	\$	1,021,600	8.5%
Tota	1 \$	4,032,800	\$ 7,944,500	.\$	11,977,300	100%

Table 4: Total NEW Budget Requested

Lanare			4121				
							Percent of New Budget (All
Cost Category	Year 1		Year 2		All Years		Years)
Administrative	\$	1,300	\$	1,300	\$	2,600	1.5%
BTM Costs	\$		\$	85,800	\$	85,800	49.9%
IFM Costs	\$	72,500	\$		\$	72,500	42.2%
Marketing & Outreach	\$	-	\$	-	\$		0.0%
Workforce Development							0.0%
etc	\$	4,800	\$	6,100	\$	10,900	6.3%
Total	\$	78,600	\$	93,200	\$	171,800	100%

Table 4: Total NEW Budget Requested

Seville							
Cost Category	Year 1		Year 2		All Years	s	Percent of New Budget (All Years)
Administrative	\$	69,500	\$	69,500	\$	139,000	2.0%
BTM Costs	\$		\$	997,524	\$	997,524	14.7%
IFM Costs	\$	4,295,300	\$	470,200	\$	4,765,500	70.1%
Marketing & Outreach	\$	170,200	\$	170,200	\$	340,400	5.0%
Workforce Development							0.0%
etc	\$	424,500	\$	128,000	\$	552,500	8.1%
Total	\$	4,959,500	\$	1,835,424	\$	6,794,924	100%

Table 4: Total NEW Budget Requested

West Goshen			- -				
						-	Percent of New Budget (All
Cost Category	Year 1		Year 2		All Years		Years)
Administrative	\$	77,100	\$	77,100	\$	154,200	2.1%
BTM Costs	\$	-	\$	1,438,700	\$	1,438,700	19.7%
IFM Costs	\$	4,439,000	\$	405,100	\$	4,844,100	66.2%
Marketing & Outreach	\$	170,200	\$	170,200	\$	340,400	4.7%
Workforce Development				···			0.0%
etc	\$	384,300	\$	153,600	\$	537,900	7.4%
Total	\$	5,070,600	\$	2,244,700	\$	7,315,300	100%

Table 5: Projected Pilot Revenues (annual)

Allensworth		1	Year	r 2	All	All Years	
Increased gas sales	\$	34,344	\$	34,344	\$	68,688	
Increased electricity sales	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	
CAISO market participation	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	
Tax credits	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
etc	\$		\$	-	\$	-	
Total	\$	34,344	\$	34,344	\$	68,688	

Table 5: Projected Pilot Revenues (annual)

Alpaugh	Year 1	<u>l</u>	Year	2	All Years	
Increased gas sales	\$	1,800	\$	1,800	\$	3,600
Increased electricity sales	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
CAISO market participation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Tax credits	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
etc	\$	-	\$		\$	-
Total	\$	1,800	\$	1,800	\$	3,600

Table 5: Projected Pilot Revenues (annual)

California City		r 1	Yea	r 2	All	All Years		
Increased gas sales	\$	83,328	\$	83,328	\$	166,656		
Increased electricity sales	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-		
CAISO market participation	\$	_	\$	-	\$			
Tax credits	\$		\$		\$	-		
etc	\$	-	\$		\$	-		
Total	\$	83,328	\$	83,328	\$	166,656		

Table 5: Projected Pilot Revenues (annual)

Ducor		r 1	Yea	r 2	All '	All Years		
Increased gas sales	\$	82,008	\$	82,008	\$	164,016		
Increased electricity sales	\$	-	\$	-	\$	<u>-</u> _		
CAISO market participation	\$		\$	-	\$	-		
Tax credits	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
etc	\$	-	\$		\$			
Total	\$	82,008	\$	82,008	\$	164,016		

Table 5: Projected Pilot Revenues (annual)

Lanare	Year 1		Year	· 2	All Years	
Increased gas sales	\$	3,264	\$	3,264	\$	6,528
Increased electricity sales	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
CAISO market participation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Tax credits	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
etc	\$	-	\$		\$	-
Total	\$	3,264	\$	3,264	\$	6,528

Table 5: Projected Pilot Revenues (annual)

Seville		Year 1		r 2	Ail Years		
Increased gas sales	\$	42,432	\$	42,432	\$	84,864	
Increased electricity sales	\$		\$	-	\$		
CAISO market participation	\$	-	\$		\$		
Tax credits	\$		\$		\$	-	
etc	\$	-	\$		\$	-	
Total	\$	42,432	\$	42,432	\$	84,864	

Table 5: Projected Pilot Revenues (annual)

West Goshen		Year 1		r 2	All Years	
Increased gas sales	\$	61,200	\$	61,200	\$	122,400
Increased electricity sales	\$	-	\$	_	\$	
CAISO market participation	\$	-	\$		\$	
Tax credits	\$		\$	-	\$	_
etc	\$		\$	-	\$	-
Total	\$	61,200	\$	61,200	\$	122,400

Table 6: Non-Participant Bill Impacts

<u> </u>		Percent of average		Percentage of
California City	Monthly bill impacts	monthly bills	Annual bill impacts	average annual bills
Residential-CARE	\$0.00	0.02%	\$0.05	0.02%
Residential- Non-CARE	\$0.01	0.02%	\$0.08	0.02%
Non-Residential	\$0.05	0.02%	\$0.57	0.02%

Table 6: Non-Participant Bill Impacts

Table of Non Taracipan		Percent of average		Percentage of	
Alpaugh		1		average annual bills	
Residential-CARE	\$0.00	0.002%	\$0.00	0.002%	
Residential- Non-CARE	\$0.00	0.002%	\$0.00	0.002%	
Non-Residential	\$0.05	0.002%	\$0.01	0.002%	

Table 6: Non-Participant Bill Impacts

California City	Monthly bill impacts	Percent of average monthly bills		Percentage of average annual bills
Residential-CARE	\$0.00	0.01%	\$0.03	0.02%
Residential- Non-CARE	\$0.00	0.01%	\$0.05	0.02%
Non-Residential	\$0.03	0.01%	\$0.32	0.02%

Table 6: Non-Participant Bill Impacts

		Percent of average		Percentage of
Ducor	Monthly bill impacts	monthly bills	Annual bill impacts	average annual bills
Residential-CARE	\$0.00	0.02%	\$0.05	0.02%
Residential- Non-CARE	\$0.01	0.02%	\$0.08	0.02%
Non-Residential	\$0.05	0.02%	\$0.57	0.02%

Table 6: Non-Participant Bill Impacts

		Percent of average		Percentage of	
Lanare	Monthly bill impacts	monthly bills	Annual bill impacts	average annual bills	
Residential-CARE	\$0.00	0.003%	\$0.00	0.003%	
Residential- Non-CARE	\$0.00	0.003%	\$0.00	0.003%	
Non-Residential	\$0.05	0.003%	\$0.01	0.003%	

Table 6: Non-Participant Bill Impacts

		Percent of average		Percentage of
Seville	Monthly bill impacts	monthly bills	Annual bill impacts	average annual bills
Residential-CARE	\$0.00	0.02%	\$0.05	0.02%
Residential- Non-CARE	\$0.01	0.02%	\$0.07	0.02%
Non-Residential	\$0.04	0.01%	\$0.49	0.01%

Table 6: Non-Participant Bill Impacts

		Percent of average		Percentage of	
West Goshen	Monthly bill impacts	monthly bills	Annual bill impacts	average annual bills	
Residential-CARE	\$0.00	0.02%	\$0.05	0.02%	
Residential- Non-CARE	\$0.01	0.02%	\$0.08	0.02%	
Non-Residential	\$0.05	0.02%	\$0.54	0.02%	

Table 7: Summary of all Proposed Pilots' Non-Participant Annual Bill Impacts [annual]

,	T										-			Total of all Proposed
SoCalGas	California City		Alpaugh	'	California City	у	Ducor		Lanare		Seville		West Goshen	Pilot Project Bill
Residential-CARE	s	0.05	Ś	-	\$	0.03	\$	0.05	\$	-	\$	0.05	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.24
Residential- Non-CARE	\$	0.08	Ś		Ś	0.05	\$	0.08	\$	-	\$	0.07	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.37
Non-Residential	ls -	0.57	Ś	0.01	\$	0.32	\$	0.57	\$	0.01	\$	0.49	\$ 0.54	\$ 2.51

Table 8: Estimated GHG and Criteria Air Pollutant Benefits

SoCalGas	Allensworth	Alpaugh	California City	Ducor	Lanare	Seville	West Goshen
GHG Benefits							
CO2 reductions	117,786	117,786	406,380	223,348	8,422	114,051	165,632
CH4 reductions							
Criteria Air Pollution Benefits							
In-home [name]							
Outside of home [name]							
* Add additional rows as needed	_						

Table 9: Summary of Proposed Gas Pilot Projects

	,	•		-					Total NEW	Estimated Cost	
Community	Number of hh in	Number of hh	Number of hh	Annual Savings	Annual Savings	To the Met	er	Total Cost	Budget	per Household	
Name]	community	lacking gas access	Converted (Gas)	(hh)(\$)(Gas)	(hh)(%)(Gas)	Costs (Gas)		Estimate (Gas)	Requested	(Gas)	
Allensworth	136	106	106	\$ 1,096	73%	\$ 4,95	3,200	\$ 6,933,100	\$ 6,933,100	\$ 65,407	
Alpaugh	285	46	6	\$ 986	73%	\$ 5	9,800	\$ 129,600	\$ 129,600	\$ 21,600	
California City	5254	1110	224	\$ 1,034	76%	\$ 1,66	6,400	\$ 5,016,800	\$ 5,016,800	\$ 22,396	
Ducor	199	201	201	\$ 1,096	73%	\$ 8,28	8,500	\$ 11,977,300	\$ 11,977,300	\$ 59,589	
Lanare	72	15	8	\$ 1,006	73%	\$ 7	2,500	\$ 171,800	\$ 171,800	\$ 21,475	
Seville	122	104	104	\$ 1,068	73%	\$ 4,76	5,500	\$ 6,794,924	\$ 6,794,924	\$ 65,336	
West Goshen	148		150	\$ 1,088	73%	\$ 4,84	4,100	\$ 7,315,300	\$ 7,315,300	\$ 48,769	

Table 10: Summary of Proposed Electric Pilot Projects

[Community Name]	Number of hh in	Number of hh lacking gas access	Number of homes treated in pilot	Annual Savings (hh)(\$)(Electric)	Energy Savings (hh)(%)(Electric)	To the Meter Costs (Electric)	Total Cost Estimate (electric)	Total NEW Budget Requested	Estimated cost
	,			1			(,	
·		ļ	 			 	ļ		
			-	<u> </u>		 			
	<u> </u>		ļ <u>.</u>			 	 		-
		<u> </u>							
	 	 	 			 	 		
						 	 	 	
					 				